## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

#### SENATE BILL 6541

Chapter 246, Laws of 2006

59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

TOBACCO MASTER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT--APPEAL BOND

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/7/06

I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is SENATE BILL 6541 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the

Passed by the House March 3, 2006
YEAS 87 NAYS 11

Representati
dates hereon set forth.

FRANK CHOPP

Passed by the Senate March 7, 2006

YEAS 44 NAYS 4

Approved March 27, 2006.

Speaker of the House of Representatives Secretary

March 27, 2006 - 3:12 p.m.

CERTIFICATE

THOMAS HOEMANN

FILED

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

# \_\_\_\_\_

#### SENATE BILL 6541

### AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2006 Regular Session

By Senators Prentice and Zarelli

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 State of Washington 59th Legislature

Read first time 01/13/2006. Referred to Committee on Ways & Means.

AN ACT Relating to appeal bond requirements involving judgments against signatories of the tobacco master settlement agreement; adding

3 a new section to chapter 43.340 RCW; and creating new sections.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature finds that:
- 6 (a) Over the past five years, Washington has received more than 7 seven hundred million dollars from the tobacco master settlement 8 agreement;
  - (b) While the state has securitized a portion of the moneys it was promised under the master settlement agreement, the remainder of the master settlement agreement payments is used to fund important health programs such as the state's basic health plan, children's health insurance, childhood vaccines, and public health;
  - (c) Litigation now pending in the state or filed in the future could result in damage awards against master settlement agreement signatories or their successors or affiliates that are so large that the defendants could obtain a stay of the execution of the judgment while they appeal only by declaring bankruptcy, rather than posting an appeal bond under state law;

p. 1 SB 6541.SL

2006 Regular Session

- 1 (d) Should a master settlement agreement signatory declare 2 bankruptcy, issues might be raised about whether that disrupts or 3 jeopardizes the payments that fund important state programs;
- (e) The legislature has the substantive obligation to raise revenue and to protect the financial well-being of the state and its citizens. Pursuant to that obligation, it is the legislature's responsibility to ensure the continued receipt of master settlement agreement funds to the maximum extent possible.
  - (2) Therefore, the legislature intends to place a maximum limit on the appeal bond a master settlement agreement signatory or a successor or affiliate of a master settlement agreement signatory can be required to post in litigation in order to stay execution of the judgment without being forced into bankruptcy while it exercises its right to appeal an adverse judgment.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 43.340 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, in order to secure and protect the moneys to be received as a result of the master settlement agreement in civil litigation under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory, or any affiliate of a signatory to the master settlement agreement, the supersedeas bond to be furnished in order to stay the execution of the judgment during the entire course of appellate review shall be set in accordance with applicable laws or court rules, except that the total bond that is required of all appellants collectively shall not exceed one hundred million dollars, regardless of the value of the judgment.
  - (2) If an appellee proves by a preponderance of the evidence that an appellant is dissipating assets outside the ordinary course of business to avoid the payment of a judgment, a court may require the appellant to post a bond in an amount up to the amount of the judgment.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act applies to all actions pending on or filed on or after the effective date of this section.

Passed by the Senate March 7, 2006. Passed by the House March 3, 2006. Approved by the Governor March 27, 2006. Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 27, 2006.

9

10

11

1213

14

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30